

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/810,297	03/26/2004	Luigi Tallone	36030312 US02	9276	
;	75	7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER	
:	Paul D. Greeley, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.			CHIEM, DINH D		
•	10th Floor		L.I .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2883		
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commen	10/810,297	TALLONE ET AL.	/gw			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erin D. Chiem	2883				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	uly 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the r	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-26</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-6 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-26</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an alastian vascinamant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
•	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	, ,			
	xammer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form FTC	J-13Z.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National S	tage			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413\				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
	, <u> </u>					

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to an RCE filed on 11 July 2005. Claims 1, 2, and 9-14 and newly added claims 15-26 are pending, and claims 3-6 are canceled.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not summarize the invention but is merely repeating the originally presented claim 1. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,297 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 2, and 9-15, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doerr et al. (US Patent 6,275,317) in view of Tabuchi (US Patent 5,481,629).

Doerr teaches an optical transmitter (100) in Figure 1 having a silicon optical bench substrate (120), (col. 4 line 54-61), and (col. 7, line 26-30), with an array of input waveguides (w1, - w6), an output fiber in the same plane (125). Interposed between the input optical fibers and output optical fibers is an optical isolator (140), the two ball lenses (155, 160) set inside pyramid-shaped pits (col. 8, line 34 – 36), and having the isolator interposed in between the two ball lenses. Regarding claim 10, Doerr et al. further explain that the optical isolator send the focused light from the collimator to the amplifier/modulator (col. 10, line 17-20). Regarding claim 11, although Doerr et al. do not explicitly show a filter in the drawings; however, in column 15, line 1-6, Doerr et al. indicate that through experimentation a 1.87 GHz electronic filter was used to produce the result shown in Figure 22 (A-J). Regarding claim 14, Doerr et al disclose using a ball lens (155, 160) to collimate and project an optical radiation. The ball lens is meets the claim of being at least one optical component comprises a symmetrical optical system having an internal image.

However, Doerr does not teach an input optical fiber coupled to a waveguide, which interposes between the input optical fiber and an optical element.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,297

Art Unit: 2883

Tabuchi teaches an optical arrangement in Figure 2A having an optical waveguide optically coupled to an optical component 11. Furthermore, Tabuchi discusses optically

coupling an input or output optical fiber to a flat top surface planar waveguide by using a V-

groove to align the coupling (col. 3, lines47-67 and Figure 9, 31). The purpose for coupling an

optical fiber to a waveguide is for long haul transmission.

Since Doerr and Tabuchi are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed

by Tabuchi would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Doerr.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

ordinary skill in the art to provide an etched v-groove on the substrate leveled with the planar

waveguide to mount the optical fiber onto for coupling with the planar waveguide. The

<u>motivation</u> for coupling an optical fiber to a planar waveguide is for long haul transmission.

The optical assembly, as taught by Doerr and Tabuchi, are typically known as an isolator and are

well known to be used with a laser module to prevent backreflection that will destabilize the

lasing. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art can appreciate the coupling of the optical fiber

allows to laser module to be in a remote location and maintains optical transmission integrity via

a single mode optical fiber and to transmit the signal out of the isolator to a signal receiver that is

located in a remote location.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doerr in view

of Tabuchi (refer to as Tabuchi 1) as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of Tabuchi

(US 5,611,006) (refer to as Tabuchi2).

Doerr in view of Tabuchi1 discloses all the limitations of claim 1, but does not disclose

having the output waveguide and the length of optical waveguide on the substrate aligned along

Application/Control Number: 10/810,297

Art Unit: 2883

an input-to output propagation path, and furthermore, the end surfaces of the optical components arrangement are offset to the perpendicular to said input-to-output propagation path, the propagation path of radiation through said through at least one optical component is at an angle with respect to the main input-to-output propagation path.

Tabuchi2 discloses arranging the incident planes of the optical-isolator in parallel with the surface of the silicon substrate and inclined by a predetermined angle relative to the main optical axis (col. 4, line 19-23) for the purpose of reducing back reflection of the optical signal along to propagating axis.

Since Doerr in view of Tabuchi1 and Tabuchi2 are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by Tabuchi2 would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Doerr in view of Tabuchi1.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to offset the alignment of at least one optical component in the mounting arrangement. The motivation would have been for reducing back reflection of the transmitted optical radiation.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doerr in view of Tabuchi1 as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Drake (US Patent 5,999,303).

Doerr in view of Tabuchi1 discloses all the limitations of claim 1, but does not disclose using optical fibers from the same fiber batch for the input and length of fiber on the substrate.

Drake discloses using input and output fibers from the same manufacturing batch having very precise lengths for both lengths of input and output fibers (col. 16, line 3-6) for the purpose of maintaining the same fiber characteristics in an optical system.

Art Unit: 2883

Since Doerr in view of Tabuchil and Drake are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by Drake would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Doerr in view of Tabuchil.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use optical fibers that were drawn from the same batch in implementing on one optical system. The motivation for using optical fibers drawn from the same batch is to maintain the closely similar characteristics of the optical fibers such as having substantially same core index, cladding index, low level of impurities, etc.

Regarding claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doerr in view of Tabuchi 1. Doerr in view of Tabuchi 1 disclose all of the limitations of claim 15 and Doerr further disclose coating the output facet of the optical amplifier/modulator with TiO_2 anti-reflective coating to minimize reflections between the optical amplifier/modulator and the output fiber (Col. 10, line 27-30)

However Doerr does not expressly disclose applying the anti-reflective coating on the respective ends of the length of fiber and the output fiber. Since **the motivation** of applying the anti-reflective coating on the respective ends facets of amplifier/modulator or fibers is for index of refraction matching to minimize scattering of optical radiation and maximize transmission, it is obvious to coat the adjoining ends of two lengths of fibers or end facets of an amplifier/modulator connecting to a length of output fiber.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,297

Art Unit: 2883

Response to Arguments

Page 7

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, and 7-14 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin D. Chiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-3102. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin D Chiem Examiner Art Unit 2883 Frank G. Font

Frank & Fort

Supervisory Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800